Bosniak Classification of Cystic Renal Masses, Version 2019: An Update Proposal and Needs Assessment
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Cystic renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is almost certainly overdiagnosed and overtreated. Efforts to diagnose and treat RCC at a curable stage result in many benign neoplasms and indolent cancers being resected without clear benefit. This is especially true for cystic masses, which compared with solid masses are more likely to be benign and, when malignant, less aggressive. For more than 30 years, the Bosniak classification has been used to stratify the risk of malignancy in cystic renal masses. Although it is widely used and still effective, the classification does not formally incorporate masses identified at MRI or US or masses that are incompletely resected. This proposed update to the Bosniak classification addresses some of these shortcomings. The primary modifications incorporate MRI, establish definitions for previously vague imaging terms, and enable a greater proportion of masses to enter lower-risk classes. Although the update will require validation, it aims to expand the number of cystic masses to which the Bosniak classification can be applied while improving its precision and accuracy for the likelihood of cancer in each class.

Rationale for Updating the Bosniak Classification

The Bosniak classification has withstood the test of time and is still useful in clinical practice today (12–15). It is preferred by urologists (16), improves the clarity of radiology reporting (17), and refines patient care. However, limitations of the classification have been recognized (12,18,19), and knowledge gains could be incorporated to optimize it further.

The Bosniak classification stratifies the risk of malignancy in cystic renal masses. However, no established definition reliably distinguishes a “cystic” mass from a “solid” one. Definitions in the literature are based on imaging or pathologic findings; some have shown that a minimum percentage of cystic components, ranging from 75% to 90%, correlate with an improved prognosis (20–22).

The historically aggressive management of renal masses suspected of being renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (23–25) has contributed to the resection of many benign masses and indolent cancers without benefit to patients. This may be especially true for cystic renal cancers, which are less likely to be malignant and, when cancerous, are more likely to be indolent and have a better prognosis (12,21,22,26–38). The risks of...
observing Bosniak III cystic masses and even some Bosniak IV cystic masses are very low during the initial 5-year period after diagnosis (12,34,38,39). In a pooled analysis by Schoots et al (12), 373 of 3036 cystic masses were malignant. Three (0.8%) had metastatic disease at presentation, and only one developed metastatic disease during follow-up. Therefore, active surveillance appears to be safe in most patients in whom it has been tried (34,35,38–41).

The widespread use of cross-sectional imaging and the paradigm of treating all cancers at an early stage results in the resection of many benign renal masses without a preoperative tissue diagnosis (42–45). Although biopsy is suggested for small solid renal masses (46,47), biopsy accuracy is a concern when the mass is cystic (46,48). Therefore, indeterminate cystic masses typically are not biopsied prior to resection despite preoperative uncertainty (12). As a result, many Bosniak III masses are benign but treated (18,19), resulting in unneeded procedural morbidity, decreased renal function, and excess health care costs (49–53). Because chronic kidney disease is associated with increased cardiovascular and other-cause mortality (49), any procedure that results in nephron loss has the potential to reduce long-term survival (50–53).

Because cystic renal masses are often benign, there is a need to improve their imaging-based characterization such that cancers that need to be treated are identified and surgery for benign diagnoses is avoided. This begins with a critical appraisal of the Bosniak classification. In the diagnosis of cystic RCC, balancing the risks of active treatment (ie, nephron loss, treatment-related morbidity, costs) with those of active surveillance (ie, progression to an incurable stage) is critical (54). This review details the current shortcomings of the Bosniak classification, proposes modifications that could in part address them, and describes knowledge gaps that will contribute to future refinements if adequately addressed.

Current Shortcomings of the Bosniak Classification

Interreader Variability

Bosniak class assignment varies between radiologists (55,56). Bosniak recognized this problem (9) and hoped reader experience would mitigate it. Experience does appear to help (56–59). However, a 2017 systematic review of eight studies assessing interreader agreement determined that the reported k values were largely due to agreement regarding Bosniak I and IV masses (12). Absolute disagreement ranged from 6% to 75%, and was particularly notable for Bosniak II, IIF, and III masses (12). The authors concluded that interreader variability for the Bosniak classification was “large for a clinical imaging test” (12).

Variable Reported Malignancy Rates

Ascertaining the true prevalence of RCC in many of the Bosniak classes is problematic because of questions related to whether appropriate imaging techniques were used, whether the Bosniak classification was applied correctly, and selection and verification bias among surgical series (12). Cancers previously reported in Bosniak I masses were almost certainly erroneous owing to incorrect imaging technique or poor

Table 1: Details of the Current Bosniak Classification of Cystic Renal Masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Current Bosniak Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Hairline-thin wall; water attenuation; no septa, calcifications, or solid components; nonenhancing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| II    | Two types:  
1. Few thin septa with or without perceived (not measurable) enhancement; fine calcification or a short segment of slightly thickened calcification in the wall or septa  
2. Homogeneously high-attenuating masses ≤ 3 cm that are sharply margined and do not enhance |
| IIF   | Two types:  
1. Minimally thickened or more than a few thin septa with or without perceived (not measurable) enhancement that may have thick or nodular calcification  
2. Intrarenal nonenhancing hyperattenuating renal masses > 3 cm |
| III   | Thickened or irregular walls or septa with measurable enhancement |
| IV    | Soft-tissue components (ie, nodule[s]) with measurable enhancement |

Note.—Adapted, with permission, from reference 10.
Table 2: Proposed Update to the Bosniak Classification of Cystic Renal Masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>CT: Proposed Bosniak Classification, Version 2019*</th>
<th>MRI: Proposed Bosniak Classification, Version 2019*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Well-defined, thin (≤2 mm) smooth wall; homogeneous simple fluid (∼9 to 20 HU); no septa or calcifications; the wall may enhance</td>
<td>Well-defined, thin (≤2 mm) smooth wall; homogeneous simple fluid (signal intensity similar to CSF); no septa or calcifications; the wall may enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Six types, all well-defined with thin (≤2 mm) smooth walls: 1. Cystic masses with thin (≤2 mm) and few (1–3) septa; septa and wall may enhance; may have calcification of any typec 2. Homogeneous hyperattenuating (≥ 70 HU) masses at noncontrast CT 3. Homogeneous nonenhancing masses &gt; 20 HU at renal mass protocol CT (73), may have calcification of any typec 4. Homogeneous masses −9 to 20 HU at noncontrast CT 5. Homogeneous masses 21 to 30 HU at portal venous phase CT 6. Homogeneous low-attenuation masses that are too small to characterize</td>
<td>Three types, all well-defined with thin (≤2 mm) smooth walls: 1. Cystic masses with thin (≤2 mm) and few (1–3) enhancing septa; any nonenhancing septa; may have calcification of any type† 2. Homogeneous masses markedly hyperintense at T2-weighted imaging (similar to CSF) at noncontrast MRI 3. Homogeneous masses markedly hyperintense at T1-weighted imaging (approximately ×2.5 normal parenchymal signal intensity) at noncontrast MRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIF</td>
<td>Cystic masses with a smooth minimally thickened (3 mm) enhancing wall, or smooth minimal thickening (3 mm) of one or more enhancing septa, or many (≥4) smooth thin (≤2 mm) enhancing septa</td>
<td>Two types: 1. Cystic masses with a smooth minimally thickened (3 mm) enhancing wall, or smooth minimal thickening (3 mm) of one or more enhancing septa, or many (≥4) smooth thin (≤2 mm) enhancing septa 2. Cystic masses that are heterogeneously hyperintense at unenhanced fat-saturated T1-weighted imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>One or more enhancing thick (≥4 mm width) or enhancing irregular (displaying ≤ 3-mm obtusely margined convex protrusion[s]) walls or septa</td>
<td>One or more enhancing thick (≥4 mm width) or enhancing irregular (displaying ≤ 3-mm obtusely margined convex protrusion[s]) walls or septa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>One or more enhancing nodule(s) (≥4-mm convex protrusion with obtuse margins, or a convex protrusion of any size that has acute margins)</td>
<td>One or more enhancing nodule(s) (≥4-mm convex protrusion with obtuse margins, or a convex protrusion of any size that has acute margins)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Italicized elements emphasize changes from the current Bosniak classification (10) (Table 1). For detailed definitions of terms, see Table 3. CSF = cerebrospinal fluid.

* The Bosniak classification is intended for cystic renal masses after infectious, inflammatory, or vascular etiologies and necrotic solid masses are excluded. If a cystic mass has features described in more than one Bosniak class, the highest Bosniak class is assigned. In rare cases, a mass may have an unusual combination of features (undefined, not fitting a specific Bosniak class) that may warrant inclusion into Bosniak IIF. Other than for the diagnosis of Bosniak I simple cysts, the role of US with or without contrast material in assigning a Bosniak class is uncertain.

† Renal masses that at CT have abundant thick or nodular calcifications; are hyperattenuating, homogeneous, nonenhancing, and larger than 3 cm; or are heterogeneous (including but not limited to many [four or more] nonenhancing septa or 3-mm or larger nonenhancing septa or wall) might best be visualized at MRI prior to the assignment of a Bosniak class to determine if there are occult enhancing elements that might affect classification.

image quality (19,59). Although some investigators have reported malignant cells in Bosniak II masses (12,15), the true prevalence of RCC in a Bosniak II mass is believed to be very low (<1%) and likely statistically indistinguishable from 0. Important exceptions are masses in patients with von Hippel-Lindau syndrome, hereditary leiomyomatosis and renal cell cancer, and other RCC syndromes in which otherwise benign-appearing cysts either are or may become cancer (60).

The range of reported malignancy rates among Bosniak IIF masses is wide (0%–38%) (12,54,61–63) and is confounded by selection and verification bias because most Bosniak IIF masses are not biopsied or treated. In the systematic review by Schoots et al (12), of 954 stable Bosniak IIF masses, only 54 were resected, and of those, nine (17%) were malignant. A large majority (94% [900 of 954]) were not resected and did not progress. Schoots et al reported that of the 11% (77 of 693) of Bosniak IIF masses that did progress to Bosniak III or IV during follow-up, 85% (95% confidence interval: 74%, 92%) were found to be malignant after resection, a proportion comparable to that of Bosniak IV masses (12). Smith et al (54) found similar results. These data indicate that reclassifying a Bosniak IIF mass to a Bosniak III or IV mass is strongly associated with malignancy, and that reporting the prevalence of malignancy for Bosniak IIF masses based solely on findings in resected specimens is likely to result in substantial overestimation (12).

High Prevalence of Benignity among Bosniak III Cystic Masses
Approximately half of resected Bosniak III masses are malignant, with rates in individual series ranging from 25% to 100% (12,34,35,62). The converse is that approximately half of all resected Bosniak III masses are benign, resulting in potential
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Proposed Solutions to Interreader Variability, Variable Reported Malignancy Rates, and the High Prevalence of Benign Bosniak III Masses

Table 3: Proposed Modifications to the Definitions of Terms Used in the Bosniak Classification of Cystic Renal Masses, Version 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition in the Current Bosniak Classification</th>
<th>Definition in the Proposed Bosniak Classification, Version 2019</th>
<th>Rationale for Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement</td>
<td>“Perceived enhancement” (portions visually appear to enhance but do not meet quantitative criteria for enhancement) is a feature of Bosniak II–III. “Measurable enhancement” (tissue enhances according to established quantitative thresholds) is a feature of Bosniak III–IV.</td>
<td>Single combined definition of enhancement is applied to all Bosniak classes. Enhancement is either unequivocally perceived (ie, there is clear visible enhancement when noncontrast and contrast-enhanced images are compared) or is measurable by using established quantitative thresholds.</td>
<td>This change disentangles the concepts of vascularized tissue from feature morphology such as septa or wall thickness. In the proposed modifications, thickness and size are treated separately from enhancement. Quantitative determination of enhancement requires the feature to be large enough to be measured by using conventionally sized regions of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of fluid within a benign cyst</td>
<td>Mass containing similar attenuation, signal intensity, or echogenicity throughout; a thin wall is allowed but there can be no septa or calcifications. Before determining if a mass is homogeneous, it is critical to ensure that the entire mass is homogeneous and that there are no subtle or peripheral heterogeneous elements.</td>
<td>This addition is designed to clarify the definition of homogeneous. Heterogeneous masses (ie, those that are not homogeneous) at noncontrast CT or MRI that otherwise appear to be cysts require a renal mass protocol evaluation (eg, to exclude a papillary renal cell carcinoma). No quantitative criteria are provided for homogeneity owing to a lack of experience and evidence with using quantitative criteria for this definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple fluid</td>
<td>Homogeneous attenuation ≤ 20 HU and higher than fat attenuation, feature of fluid within a simple cyst</td>
<td>Homogeneous attenuation — 9 to 20 HU at noncontrast or contrast-enhanced CT. Homogeneous signal intensity similar to that of cerebrospinal fluid at T2-weighted MRI. Anechoic with increased posterior through-transmission at US.</td>
<td>This addition defines what constitutes simple fluid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum/septa</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of a non-simple cystic mass</td>
<td>Linear or curvilinear structure(s) in a cystic mass that connect two surfaces</td>
<td>This addition defines what constitutes a septum or septa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (of septa)</td>
<td>Not defined, typical of the number of septa in a Bosniak II cyst</td>
<td>One septum to three septa, is a feature of a Bosniak II cyst</td>
<td>These changes are designed to optimize interreader agreement and reduce the variability in reported malignancy rates across Bosniak classes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few</td>
<td>Not defined, typical of the number of septa in a Bosniak II cyst</td>
<td>One septum to three septa, is a feature of a Bosniak II cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than a few</td>
<td>Not defined, typical of the number of septa in a Bosniak IIF mass</td>
<td>Not included (see “Many”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Not defined, typical of the number of septa in a Bosniak IIF mass</td>
<td>Four or more septa. When enhancing, is a feature of a Bosniak IIF mass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (continues)

harms of surgery with no clinical benefit. Despite increased interest in active surveillance for cystic renal masses, the most recent American Urological Association guidelines still support surgery for Bosniak III and IV masses 2 cm or larger in patients without limited life expectancy (64).

Bosniak IV masses are most likely to be malignant (approximately 90%), with proportions in individual series ranging from 56% to 100% (12,35,62,63,65). Although this is unusual, some Bosniak IV masses are benign (66–68).
defined terms may reduce interreader variability among Bosniak IIF and III masses.

Of course, even with specific criteria, it is acknowledged that observers’ assessments may still differ (ie, if terms are defined quantitatively, some observers might measure septa as 3 mm while others might measure them as 4 mm, or perceive three septa while others perceive four or more). Nevertheless, it is important to provide a framework for assessing features. In the case of cystic masses, the authors advocate for emphasizing specificity rather than sensitivity with respect to the likelihood of malignancy (eg, defining “thick” as ≥4 mm rather than as ≥3 mm or ≥2 mm may help reduce the number of benign masses being resected unnecessarily). Explicit definitions provide a framework for directed testing, validation, and refinement.

An additional way to reduce the number of benign renal mass resections is to eliminate the requirement that all cystic masses with “measurable enhancement” be included in Bosniak III or IV. Until now, the Bosniak classification has allowed the septa of a Bosniak IIF mass to display “perceived” but not “measurable” enhancement (ie, the mass may visually appear to enhance but fail to meet quantitative criteria for enhancement).

### Table 3 (continued): Proposed Modifications to the Definitions of Terms Used in the Bosniak Classification of Cystic Renal Masses, Version 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition in the Current Bosniak Classification</th>
<th>Definition in the Proposed Bosniak Classification, Version 2019</th>
<th>Rationale for Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (of wall or septa)</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of the wall of a simple cyst</td>
<td>Not included (see “thin”)</td>
<td>These changes are designed to optimize interreader agreement and improve the specificity of the Bosniak classification, particularly for Bosniak III masses. It is recognized that reliable measurements expressing 1-mm differences may not be feasible in clinical practice. However, radiologists are already attempting to make this determination on the basis of a subjective evaluation without clear guidance on how to define these terms. These quantitative criteria are intended to serve as guideposts rather than absolute expressions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairline (or pencil) thin</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of the wall of a simple cyst</td>
<td>Not included (see “thin”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of the wall or septa of a Bosniak II cyst</td>
<td>≤2 mm in thickness, feature of the wall or septa of a Bosniak II cyst, or wall of a simple cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally thickened</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of the wall or septa of a Bosniak IIF mass</td>
<td>3 mm in thickness, feature of the enhancing wall or septa of a Bosniak IIF mass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of the wall or septa of a Bosniak III mass</td>
<td>≥4 mm in thickness, feature of the enhancing wall or septa of a Bosniak III mass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular thickening (wall or septa)*</td>
<td>Not defined, feature of a Bosniak III mass</td>
<td>≤3 mm focal or diffuse enhancing convex protrusion(s) that have obtuse margins with the wall or septa, feature of a Bosniak III mass</td>
<td>This change is designed to reduce confusion between irregular thickening (feature of Bosniak III) and nodule(s) (feature of Bosniak IV), particularly when the irregular thickening is focal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodule*</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
<td>Focal enhancing convex protrusion of any size that has acute margins with the wall or septa, or a focal enhancing convex protrusion ≥ 4 mm that has obtuse margins with the wall or septa; both are features of a Bosniak IV mass</td>
<td>This change is designed to reduce the percentage of resected Bosniak IV masses that are benign and to differentiate a nodule from (a) irregular thickening, which has smaller obtusely margined convex protrusion(s); and (b) focal thickening that occurs at the confluence of two or more septa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Unless otherwise specified, features may be assessed at CT or MRI.

* Convex protrusions arising from a wall or septa are either nodules (any size if acute margins with walls or septa, or ≥ 4 mm if obtuse margins with wall or septa) or irregular thickening (≤ 3 mm if obtuse margins with wall or septa). Size measurements are obtained perpendicular to the wall or septa of origin. If convex protrusion(s) are on both sides of a wall or septum, the cumulative perpendicular distance is used and excludes the thickness of the underlying wall or septum.
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and requires that any measurable enhancement be categorized as Bosniak III or IV. To our knowledge, no studies have specifically assessed measurable enhancement in the wall or septa as an independent predictor of malignancy, but enhancement in the thin wall (or septa) of Bosniak I–IIIF masses does occur.

We believe that a single combined definition of enhancement should be applied to all Bosniak classes. Applying perceived enhancement to some classes and measurable enhancement to others is an unnecessarily complex in the current Bosniak classification, has no biologic basis, and prevents the determination of enhancement within small structures (eg, thin septa) because pixelwise assessments are error prone. A feature within a cystic renal mass can be said to enhance if that enhancement is either unequivocally perceived (ie, the mass clearly visibly shows enhancement when noncontrast and contrast material–enhanced images obtained with the same acquisition technique are compared) or can be quantitatively confirmed. Determination of enhancement based on visual inspection is accepted in other organs and disease processes that lack quantitative criteria and is a common method of determining enhancement at subtraction MRI.

In the proposed update, if a feature of a mass clearly visibly enhances, it is considered enhancing. If a feature is not clearly visibly enhancing, then it should be determined whether the feature is large enough to be measured by using conventionally sized region(s) of interest. If the feature is large enough to be measured, then measurements are made to determine if subtle nonvisible enhancement is present, on the basis of established quantitative criteria (10,69–72). Specifically, measurable enhancement has been defined as an increase of 20 HU or more at contrast-enhanced CT (10) or an increase of 15% signal intensity or more at contrast-enhanced MRI (69) compared with noncontrast CT or MRI acquisitions with the same technique, respectively. If the feature is not large enough to measure and is not clearly visibly enhancing, the feature is considered nonenhancing.

Recent Developments to Improve Characterization of Cystic Renal Masses

The current Bosniak classification is primarily intended for masses that are completely characterized with a renal mass protocol CT or MRI examination (1,2,10,73,74). Because most renal masses are detected with examinations that are not designed to evaluate them completely, the Bosniak classification often cannot be applied (75).

We propose to include incompletely characterized masses that are highly likely to be benign. At noncontrast CT, well-defined homogeneous masses from −9 to 20 HU (76–79) and well-defined homogeneous masses of 70 HU or greater (76,78,80) are highly likely to be benign cysts. At noncontrast MRI, well-defined homogeneous masses that are markedly hyperintense at T1-weighted noncontrast imaging (approximately 2.5 times the normal parenchymal signal intensity) also are likely to be benign cysts (81,82), and well-defined homogeneous masses that are similar in signal intensity to cerebrospinal fluid at T2-weighted imaging also are likely to be benign cysts (83). At portal venous phase CT, well-defined homogeneous masses of 40 HU or less also are likely to be benign cysts (84,85), but the optimal attenuation threshold is unclear; a threshold of 30 HU or lower appears to be safe (86).

Incompletely characterized renal masses also include those that are too small to diagnose with confidence, frequently reported as “too small to characterize.” By adapting the Nyquist sampling theorem, one can conclude that a mass is “too small to characterize” when the section thickness is more than half of the diameter of the mass (77,87). It has been advised for many years (88) that masses that are too small to characterize be presumed benign if they are well-defined, homogeneous, and of low attenuation (11). The term “too small to characterize” also may apply to masses larger than what the Nyquist theorem defines because of other technical factors. For example, intrarenal masses smaller than 1.5 cm adjacent to avidly enhancing renal parenchyma can be affected by pseudoenhancement at CT (89–91), which can render a mass “too small to characterize,” even if the section thickness otherwise would be sufficient to permit analysis of it.

Bosniak Classification, Version 2019

General Points

The Society of Abdominal Radiology endorses this proposed update to the Bosniak classification. Tables 1–3 compare the proposed update with the current classification. Some modific-
tions are evidence based and others (eg, quantitative definitions for previously qualitative terms) are based on our experience using the Bosniak classification in clinical practice. Because the Bosniak classification will continue to evolve as new evidence emerges, descriptions of a cystic renal mass in scholarly works ideally should cite the version of the classification being used (eg, “Bosniak Classification, version 2019”).

The Bosniak Classification, version 2019 considers a cystic mass to be one in which less than approximately 25% of the mass is composed of enhancing tissue (20–22). The intentions are to minimize the possibility of an aggressive solid mass with necrosis being termed a Bosniak IV cystic mass and to standardize research on this topic. We suggest that the term “cyst” be used only to refer to Bosniak I simple cysts and Bosniak II masses diagnosed as cysts. For other Bosniak II masses and all other Bosniak classes, we recommend the term “cystic mass.” The term “mass” is agnostic to histologic findings, capturing both neoplastic and nonneoplastic entities, while the term “cyst” is specific and indicates a benign histologic diagnosis. When reporting a cystic mass other than a benign simple cyst (Bosniak I), we suggest using the Bosniak class coupled with a description of its clinical significance (16) (Table 4). Colloquial terms such as “complicated cyst” or “complex cyst” can be confusing and should be avoided.

The overall strategy of the proposed modifications is to improve the specificity of higher-risk categories, increase the proportion of masses that are surveilled or ignored rather than resected, and provide specific definitions for individual terms to improve interreader agreement and promote cross-study consistency. Similar to the current classification, if a mass has features that span multiple Bosniak classes, the highest Bosniak class should be assigned. For example, a cystic mass with few thick enhancing septa would be Bosniak III (thick septa) rather than II (few septa).
MRI structural features are now formally added (Figs 1–3, Tables 2, 3). As explained above, a single combined definition for enhancement applies to all Bosniak classes (Table 3). Because enhancement applies to Bosniak I and II masses, assessment of structural features such as the number of enhancing septa and the thickness of an enhancing wall or septa are most important for differentiation from Bosniak III–IV masses. It is recognized that reliable size and thickness measurements expressing (for example) 1-mm differences may not be feasible in clinical practice. However, radiologists currently make these determinations on the basis of a subjective evaluation, with no current definition of terms used to describe the features. Quantitative criteria are intended to serve as guideposts rather than as absolute expressions.

Like the current classification, the update accounts for common presentations and entities. It is possible that some masses may not fit the criteria of a class, and rare presentations of cancerous entities (eg, nonenhancing RCC) may be misclassified. The classification system is a guide, and radiologists may use clinical judgement to deviate from it in individual patients.

Bosniak I

Bosniak I cysts are benign simple cysts (Tables 1–4). The description of this category is unchanged except its smooth well-defined wall may enhance and the allowable wall thickness is defined as 2 mm or thinner (ie, thin). In the current Bosniak classification, terms such as “hairline thin” and “pencil thin” were interchangeably used to describe the allowable wall thickness. In our experience, 2 mm or thinner is a useful threshold (Fig 2) for the unifying term “thin.”

Bosniak II

Bosniak II masses are reliably benign, including those with features of a benign cyst and those that are highly likely to be benign cysts but cannot be fully classified (eg, homogeneous low-attenuation masses at CT that are too small to characterize [Table 2]). The updated classification includes two types that were included in the original classification (Tables 1, 2): (a) benign “minimally complicated cysts” with thin and few smooth septa with or without thin, border-forming calcification; and (b) benign “hyperattenuating cysts” characterized as small (≤3 cm), homogeneous, hyperattenuating (>20 HU), and nonenhancing (Table 2). In the updated classification, the cystic masses previously called benign “minimally complicated cysts” are defined as having thin (≤2 mm) and few (one to three) septa, with or without calcification of any type. Benign “hyperattenuating cysts” are defined as being homogeneous and 70 HU or greater at non-contrast CT and as being homogeneous, greater than 20 HU, and nonenhancing at renal mass protocol CT (Table 2) (73). All Bosniak II masses must be well defined, with smooth, thin (≤2 mm) walls (Table 2).

The Bosniak Classification, version 2019 includes the following additional entities: (a) homogeneous masses from 9 to 20
MRI (with subtraction images) can help determine whether there are underlying enhancing features that result in a higher Bosniak class (Fig 4).

**Bosniak IIF**

The true prevalence of malignancy in Bosniak IIF masses is unknown, but progression over time is a strong indicator of malignancy (12,61) (Tables 2, 4). The original qualitative features remain in the update: well-defined cystic masses with "more than a few" thin septa and cystic masses with smooth minimal thickening of the wall or of one septum or more septa. However, the Bosniak Classification, version 2019 defines "more than a few" as "many" (four or more), "thin" as 2 mm or thinner, and "minimally thickened" as 3 mm (Figs 1, 2). In the proposed update, the walls or septa of a Bosniak IIF cystic mass must enhance.

The Bosniak Classification, version 2019 includes another entity: Cystic masses at MRI that are heterogeneously hyperintense at fat-saturated unenhanced T1-weighted imaging that do not meet criteria for Bosniak III or Bosniak IV masses. The rationale is that some papillary cancers can present with this finding (95–97) (Figs 5, 6).

Heterogeneous nonenhancing masses at CT are incompletely characterized (75), and MRI is recommended to help determine if the mass is cystic or solid (on the basis of the superior contrast resolution and sensitivity of MRI for enhancement [7,61,92,93]), and, if the mass is cystic, it is recommended that a Bosniak class be assigned on the basis of the features detected at MRI (Table 2) (Figs 5, 6).

**Figure 5:** Images in 66-year-old man with prostate cancer and an indeterminate right renal mass. (a) Unenhanced and (b) axial nephrographic CT images show a nonenhancing heterogeneous mass. Because of the heterogeneity of the mass, MRI was performed. At (c) coronal T2-weighted single-shot fast spin-echo MRI, the mass was hypointense to the renal cortex. (d) Axial image from in-phase (right) dual-echo gradient-echo MRI shows signal loss relative to that on shorter-echo-time opposed-phase axial image (left), indicating the presence of hemosiderin. sd = Standard deviation (Fig 5 continues).
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Bosniak III

Approximately 50% of Bosniak III masses are malignant (12,54) (Tables 2, 4). The qualitative features of Bosniak III cystic masses are similar between the current classification and the proposed update (Tables 1, 2): cystic masses with one or more thick or irregular (ie, not smooth) enhancing walls or septa without nodular enhancement. The Bosniak Classification, version 2019 defines “thick” as 4 mm or thicker and “irregular” as 3-mm or smaller focal or diffuse convex protrusion(s) that have obtuse margins with the wall or septa (Fig 3).

Bosniak IV

Bosniak IV masses are approximately 90% likely to be malignant (12) and contain one or more enhancing nodules (Tables 2, 4). The Bosniak Classification, version 2019 defines “nodule” as a focal enhancing convex protrusion of any size that has acute margins with the wall or septa or a focal enhancing convex protrusion 4 mm or larger (98) that has obtuse margins with the wall or septa (Fig 3).

Knowledge Gaps Not Addressed by the Bosniak Classification, Version 2019

The proposed update does not address all issues pertinent to the imaging evaluation of cystic renal masses. The following brief summary informs readers of existing deficits that may benefit from further research.

Role of US Is Not Fully Established

US can depict the same anatomic features as CT and MRI. Gray-scale US can enable the diagnosis of a Bosniak I simple cyst if it is anechoic and has well-defined smooth borders with increased posterior through-transmission (99,100). As a result of improvements in spatial resolution and the recent use of intravenous contrast agents, more US features could be added to the classification (100–104). Masses that are not simple cysts at noncontrast US generally warrant a renal mass protocol CT or MRI, with the possible exception of masses with thin and few septa (ie, Bosniak II masses). Contrast-enhanced US has been shown to result in assigning a higher Bosniak class in approximately one-quarter of cystic masses previously classified at enhanced CT by depicting more and thicker septa and previously undetected enhancement (101–104).

The Bosniak Classification Relies Largely on Anatomic Features

Much of the criteria used in the current Bosniak classification and the proposed update relies on anatomic features. However, nonstructural information (eg, iodine content, T1, T2, perfusion, diffusion, chemical shift, stiffness) can be measured at cross-sectional imaging and might be found to improve diagnostic accuracy or enable prediction of aggressiveness.

The Predictive Value of Individual Features Is Not Known

The Bosniak classification asserts (for example) that masses with many or thick enhancing septa are associated with a higher probability of malignancy than masses with few or thin septa, but the manner in which this is true is unknown. For example, it is not known whether cancer risk increases with each stepwise increase in thickness, or whether there is an inflection point beyond which the probability of malignancy is fixed. Also, it is not known which feature imparts the most information about cancer aggressiveness. The total volume
Second, RCCs with predominant cystic change appear to behave more indolently and have better outcomes than solid RCCs (21,29,31,33,36–38). Third, it is important that aggressive cancers with necrosis not be confused for indolent cancers with cystic change (and vice versa) (14,106–108). In general, necrosis tends to be central, with a thickened solid peripheral “rind” and a central ill-defined area of nonenhancement (62).

Mass Size Is Not Included in the Bosniak Classification

Size and growth rate are not included in the update proposal. Small masses may be malignant and large ones benign; however, the smaller the mass, the more likely it is to be benign (12,43,109–113).

Figure 6: Images in 68-year-old man with an indeterminate left renal mass. (a) Axial unenhanced (left) and nephrographic phase (right) CT images show a partially calcified nonenhancing heterogeneous mass. Because of the heterogeneity of the mass, MRI was performed. At (b) coronal T2-weighted single-shot fast spin-echo MRI, the mass was hypointense to the renal cortex. At (c) coronal T1-weighted fat-saturated spoiled gradient-echo MRI, the mass was heterogeneously hyperintense. (d, e) At coronal dynamic postcontrast subtraction MRI in the (d) corticomedullary and (e) nephrographic phases, there was unequivocal visible enhancement within multiple nodules (eg, arrow in e). Because nodular enhancement was detected, the mass would be classified as Bosniak IV. The mass was biopsied and was found to be a hemorrhagic papillary renal cell carcinoma. (Note that if no enhancing features had been identified, the mass would be classified as Bosniak IIF in the 2019 classification because of its heterogeneous hyperintensity at fat-saturated T1-weighted MRI.) sd = Standard deviation.

Cystic Change Cannot Be Reliably Distinguished from Necrosis at Imaging

Fluid elements are visible in approximately 15% of RCCs at imaging (36), and cystic change is found in a similar proportion at surgical pathologic examination (37). In addition, “cystic RCC” is not a distinct pathology term, nor is it uniformly included in analyses that correlate pathologic features with outcomes (105).

In the proposed update, a cystic renal mass is one in which less than approximately 25% of the mass is composed of enhancing tissue. Defining what constitutes a cystic mass at imaging is important for three reasons. First, the Bosniak classification should be applied to cystic masses, not hemorrhagic or necrotic solid masses. Second, RCCs with predominant cystic change appear to behave more indolently and have better outcomes than solid RCCs (21,29,31,33,36–38). Third, it is important that aggressive cancers with necrosis not be confused for indolent cancers with cystic change (and vice versa) (14,106–108). In general, necrosis tends to be central, with a thickened solid peripheral “rind” and a central ill-defined area of nonenhancement (62).
Mass size has been correlated with behavior. Small renal masses are more indolent than large ones, just as cystic renal masses are more indolent than solid ones (12). Although there is no size threshold that separates benign from malignant masses nor indolent from aggressive cancers, size may be an important factor when considering surveillance of cystic renal masses. However, once a cystic mass has entered surveillance, it is not overall change in size that is most important, but rather change in morphology or the growth of solid elements over time (12). Whether mass size or growth rate can be included in the classification awaits further study.

The Bosniak Classification Predicts Likelihood of Cancer, Not Biologic Behavior

The classification does not distinguish clinically significant aggressive cancers from indolent cancers unlikely to affect a patient’s lifespan. The historical practice of definitively treating all cancers has fallen out of favor for a variety of cancers (eg, prostate, thyroid, breast), including kidney cancer (114). In addition to data that reveal that too many benign renal masses are removed unnecessarily, many cystic cancers that would be very unlikely to metastasize or limit a patient’s life are being resected (12,34,54,63). Distinguishing “indolent” from “aggressive” cancers is important for treatment selection (115,116). Future study will be challenged by low event rates (eg, infrequent metastatic disease) necessitating large samples, a fact that further underscores the importance of specificity in diagnosis (ie, avoiding overdiagnosis) and surveillance in management (ie, avoiding aggressive therapy) (114,116).

The proposed update to the Bosniak classification remains a malignancy prediction system, not a comprehensive management algorithm. Patient factors such as age, comorbidities, life expectancy, preferences, and risk tolerance all need to be considered in a treatment plan and belie simple recommendations for each Bosniak class. However, in general, ignoring class I and II masses, observing class IIF masses, and considering for resection or ablation class IV masses will likely remain mainstays of management for the Bosniak Classification, version 2019. Observation is still recommended for Bosniak IIF cystic masses because approximately 10% of such masses show progress at imaging, and those with progression have an approximately 85% likelihood of being malignant (12,54). Whether class III masses are best suited to observation or treatment in the general population (ie, patients without comorbidities or limited life expectancy) is unclear. Future studies may help better define the role of percutaneous biopsy (if any) (46–48) and identify a subset of patients with Bosniak III or IV masses for whom observation is the optimal treatment strategy.

Summary

There have been many technological developments, knowledge gains, and evolutions in thought since the Bosniak classification was first released in 1986. Some of these developments are ongoing and yet to be fully explored. Others (eg, machine learning) will likely emerge in the future. As with any new proposal, the Bosniak Classification, version 2019 will need to be tested prior to widespread clinical implementation. It is hoped that this review of the current Bosniak classification and the proposed update will enhance the classification’s clarity and specificity, be useful in clinical practice, serve as substrate for future study, and lead to future updates that emphasize the clinical significance of the cancers the classification aims to diagnose.
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